| TELEPHONE COMMON FOR APPROVAL OF RESPECTANTION ASSESSMENT DETWEEN G.C.C. LICENSE LA.C. AND TO COMMONICATIONS INC. | The parties of | IN THE MATTER OF THE FILMS OF SOUTH CANOTA MOERENDENT | |
|---|--|--|--|
| ASILENT DETWEEN GCC, LICENSE LAC AND RC COMMUNICATIONS INC. | | APPROVAL OF BEOPROCAL | |
| | And the second section of the section of the second section of the secti | AGREDENT DETWEEN O.C.C. LICENSE LA.C. AND RC | |
| | | COMPRESSION AND STATE OF THE ST | |

Public Utilities Commission of the State of South Dakota

| DATE | MENOR COLUMN |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 3/2/00 | Filedan Sudan Belli |
| 3/9/00 | Table Liliano: |
| 3/30/00/ | Stall analysis and foramona Sateri |
| 4/4/001 | allenae al detre |
| 4/7/00/ | stalls kehitelts 10TTR's Annas: |
| 5/23/00/ | Willia Upassier a Unillement; |
| 5/23/11/6 | Cachel Elsais |
| Week of the Total Control of the Con | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | <u> </u> |
| | <u></u> |
| | |

South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition, Inc.

Richard & Colt Reculing Director white Options are

March 2, 2000

建筑

MA 1 1 200

SOUTH DAKOTA FUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Mr. Bill Bullard, Executive Director South Daketa Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Ave. State Capitol Building Pierre, S. 57501

RE: Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreements

Dear Bill:

Enclosed for Commission review pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) are true and correct copies of "Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreements" negatiated and entered between OCT License L.L.C. (an affiliate of Western Wireless Corporation) and the independent local exchange carriers listed below:

Accent Communications Inc. Armour Independent Telephone Company Baltic Telecom Cooperative Beresford Municipal Telephone Company Bridgewater-Canistota Independent Telephone Company City of Faith Telephone East Plains Telecom. Inc. Fort Randall Telephone Company Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc. Hanson Communications, Inc. Hanson County Telephone Company Heartland Communications, Inc. Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc. James Valley Cooperative Telephone Company Jefferson Telephone Company, Inc. Kadoka Telephone Company Kennebec Telephone Company, Inc. ! 'nok Cooperative Telephone Company Midstate Telephone Company Mt Rushmore Telephone Company



LE COMPUTATION DE rouse Court Tributer Court by Some Valley Telephone Company College Property, Inc. SHOUSE THE COUNTY OF LEC Suggine Telephone Telephon Stadistriction Company Saly Bules Telephine Corpenies, Inc. ilior Telephor Company Valley Code & Sabellite Communications, Inc. Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Venue Communications, Inc. Viving Telephone Company West River Telecommunications Cooperative (Bixon) Western Telephone Company

SDITC, on behalf of the above listed companies, and GCC License L.I.C. are respecting Commission approval of each of the negotiated agreements. All of the agreements are identical in their terms and conditions, and the rates agreed upon are reflected in "Exhibit A" attached to each agreement. The agreements have already been signed and carry a retroactive effective date agreed to by the parties.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Coit

Executive Director and General Counsel

RDC/ms Encls.

ce: Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation

BRITH CARCTA PUBLIS LIBERTES CIAMISMON

Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement

This Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement (the "Agreement") is expected see by and between G.C.C. License L.L.C. ("Chirs Provider") with offices at 250 131st Ave. S.E., Bellevia, Weshington 98606 and E.C. Communicat Lineau Lac. ("Carier") with offices at 1864 Effication and Efficiency and the together the "Parties" to this Agreement is January 1, 1999.

CMRS Provides a Scenard by the Federal Communications Communication (FCC) as a Communication blookle Radio Service provider. Carrier and CMRS Provider agree to exchange westign to windows and wireless to window traffic for the benefit of the Parties. Services provided by Carrier to CMRS Provider under this Agreement are provided partient to the excenting Party's states as a CMRS Provider.

WHEREAS, the Parties currently extend arrangements to one marker allowing for the transport and termination of writine to weeken and wireless to wireless traffic over each other's network facilities, between each other's subscribers, and

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to put in place an arrangement for the special exchange and reciprocal compensation of local telecommunications traffic in accord with the Telecommunications Act of 19%, and which is retended to superside any previous arrangements between the parties relating to such traffic.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the matural provisions occasional become and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, CMRS Provider and Carrier hereby agree as follows:

- obligations as described in § 251(b)(5) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"). By this Agreement, neither Party waives any other rights it may have under the Act or rules of the FCC, under state statute, or pursuant to rules of the State Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"). Such rights may include CMRS Provider's right to request unbundled network elements and a review of Carrier's rural telephone company exemption provided for under § 251(f)(1)(A) of the Act and Carrier's right to seek to maintain the rural exemption.
- 2. Interpretation and Construction. The terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be subject to any and all applicable laws, rules, regulations or guidelines that subsequently may be prescribed by any federal, state or local government authority. To the extent required by any such subsequently prescribed law, rule, regulation or guideline, the Parties agree to modify, in writing, the affected term(s) and condition(s) of this Agreement to bring them into compliance with such law, rule, regulation or guideline.

The Parties agree and understand that certain provisions in this Agreement are based on the FCC's First Report and Order, In the Mother of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telegraphynamicalisms Actor 1996. CC Docket No. 96-98, rel. Aug. 8, 1996 CFCC; is Order') and the Second Report and Order and Merapirandum Opinion and Order, In the Monter of the Independent Competition Provisions of the Independent of the Actor Competition Provisions of the Independent Content. To the extent that certain of the rules contained in the FCC Lat Order and the FCC 2nd Order'). To the Order, or any other FCC Order adapted to implement the Telegraphynamications Act of 1996, are ultimately deemed by the courts to be not effective, that Agreement shall be modified to compet with the final court decisions and subsequent FCC rules adapted to comply with the court's decisions.

The Parties further agree and understand that the rates for local transport and termination agreed to, as set forth in Emisbit A herea, have not been determined based on a specific costing methodology or company specific cost studies and that they may have to be adjusted when an appropriate costing methodology consisters with § 252(d)(2) of the Telecommunications Act is established and actual cost information or an acceptable cost proxy which reasonably reflects the actual costs of providing the local transport and termination services becomes available

The Parties enter into this agreement without prejudice to any position they may take with respect to similar future agreements between the Parties or with respect to positions they may have taken previously, or may take in the future in any legislative, regulatory or other public forum addressing any matters, including matters related to the rates to be charged for transport and termination of local traffic or the types of arrangements prescribed by this agreement

3. <u>Definitions</u>.

- 3.1 "Act" means the Communications Act of 1934 (47-U.S.C. 151 et. seq.), as amended by the Felecommunications Act of 1996, and as from time to time interpreted in the duly authorized rules and regulations of the FCC or the Commission within its state of jurisdiction.
- 3.2 "CMRS" or "Commercial Mobile Radio Service" is as defined in the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 3.3 "Commission" means the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission.
- 3.4 "Local Calling Area (LCA)" for purposes of this Agreement, is a geographic area defined by the Major Trading Area (MTA) within which CMRS Provider provides CMRS services where local transport and

termination rates apply as set forth in FCC tot Order and 47 CFR 51.701(b)(2).

- 3.5 "Local Traffic" for purposes of this Agreement means traffic which originates and terminates, based on the location of the wireless subscriber and landline and eser, within the same CMRS LCA.
- 3.6 "Major Trading Area (MTA) is a geographic area established in Rand McNally's Commercial Atlas and Marketing Guide and used by the FCC in defining CMRS beense boundaries for CMRS providers for purposes of Sections 251 and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended.
- 3.7 Non-Local Traffic" is the completion of interbITA calls based on the location of the wireless subscriber and the land line and user and the completion of that marring traffic, as defined in FCC 1st Order, par. 1943, to which switched access charges are applicable.
- 3.8 "Reciprocal Compensation Credit" for purposes of this Agreement and based on current traffic trends is a manutary credit for wireling to wireless traffic which is originated by a landling subscriber of Carrier and terminates to a subscriber of CMRS Provider within the LCA. Should traffic patterns change so that more wireless traffic is terminated by CMRS Provider than Carrier within a prescribed billing period, the reciprocal compensation credit shall be changed to reflect such difference.
- 3.9 "Transit Traffic" is traffic that originates from one provider's network, transits another telecommunication carrier's network, substantially unchanged, and terminates to yet another provider's network.
- 3 10 "Wireless Traffic" for purposes of this Agreement, means all calls in either direction between a user of CMRS Provider's CMRS (where CMRS Provider provides the wireless equivalent of dial tone to the user) and an end user served by Carner.
- 4. Reciprocal Traffic Exchange. Each Party shall reciprocally terminate wireless local traffic originating on each other's network. Reciprocal traffic exchange addresses the exchange of wireless traffic between CMRS Provider subscribers and Carrier end users. Consistent with Carrier's current practice with CMRS Provider, either Party's wireless local traffic may be routed through an intermediary for interconnection with the other Party's system. Any such arrangement may be modified by a separate agreement if both Parties wish to provide for two-way direct interconnection. Reciprocal traffic exchange per this Agreement covers only transport and termination services provided for CMRS providers only in association with CMRS services. Other services, including any direct interconnect arrangement established between the parties, shall be covered by a separate contract tariff or price list. The transport and termination services

provided heremeder are impacted for windows to wireline or whether to wireless, but not wireline to wireline communications. Such cervices will not be used to termeans other types of traffic on Carrier's network (such as wireline originated traffic) and services used in violation beroof shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. In addition to any other remoties available, the Pany whose cervices have been improperly used shall be entitled to receiver the charges applicable to such traffic for the entite period of misuse. Any incidental services (e.g. directory assistance, operator services, etc.) will be hilled at the standard rates for those services.

5. Local and Non-Local Traffic. This Agreement is intended to address the transport and termination of local wireless traffic between the Parties. Local wireless traffic is subject to only the local transport and termination charge(x) and forth below and is not subject to switched access charges. Mon-local traffic is subject to either intendate or intrastate switched access charges, whichever is applicable.

Ancillary traffic which includes wireless traffic that is destined for ancillary services including, but not limited to, directory assistance, 911/E911, operator call termination (busy line interrupt and verify), 800/838, LMM, and information services requiring special billing will be exchanged and charged in accordance with the appropriate tariffs, local or switched access.

CMRS Provider agrees that it shall not use the services provided by Carner under this Agreement for the transport and termination of non-local wireless traffic. Any use of the services for non-local traffic shall constitute a breach of this Agreement and, with respect to such improper use, in addition to any other remedies available, Carrier shall be entitled to recover the charges applicable to such traffic for the entire period of misuse

For billing purposes, if either Party is unable to classify on an automated basis traffic the local wireless traffic delivered by CMRS Provider as intrastate or interstate. CMRS Provider will provide Carrier with a Percent Interstate Use (PIU) factor, which represents the estimated interstate portion of intraMTA traffic delivered by CMRS Provider. The PIU factor will be provided and applicated on a semi-annual basis to commence six (6) months after Commission approval of this Agreement.

6. Local Transport and Termination Rate. CMRS Provider and Carrier shall reciprocally and symmetrically compensate one another for wireless local traffic terminated to their end users. The rate(s) for the termination and transport of such traffic are as set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto. Carrier will be responsible, for measuring the total monthly minutes of use terminating into its network from CMRS Provider's network. Measured usage begins when CMRS Provider's mobile switching office is signaled by the terminating end office that the call has been answered. Measured usage ends upon recognition by the mobile

switching office of disconnection by the curier of the Capter's authors or the disconnection signal from the terminating and office. Capter will only things CMRS Provider for natual minutes of use and/or fractions thereof of completed calls. Minutes of use will be aggregated at the end of the billing cycle and rounded to the meanest whole minute.

- 7. Transit Traffic Autor. For transiting local traffic, the applicable local transit rate applies to the originating Purty per Exhibit A standard.
- 8. <u>Reciprocal Componention Could</u>. The monthly minutes of use terminated into CMRS Provider's notwork from Carrier's network for purposes of this Agreement, which will describe the reciprocal componentian could due CMRS Provider, will be calculated using the formula set forth to Exhibit A.

The resulting number shall be multiplied by the local transport and termination rate to determine the monthly reciprocal compensation credit. The reciprocal compensation credit for the local transport and termination will appear on the monthly bill as a credit against amounts due and payable from CMRS Provider to Carrier.

- 9. <u>Rilling and Collection Fees</u> CMES Provider will only bear the parties of billing and collecting fees that are associated with wireless traffic transport and termination to its subscribers. This will apply to billing and collection nears incurred by the Carner directly or indirectly. For the purpose of this Agreement, CMRS Provider will bear cost for billing and collection services in order for the Carner to render an accurate bill in an amount not to exceed the purceasage used to calculate the reciprocal compensation credit to CMRS Provider per Exhibit A of total direct or indirect billing and collection costs incurred by the Carner Billing and collection arrangements entered into by CMRS Provider or the Carner with any informedianes will be addressed separately and are not part of this Agreement.
- Agreement, the term of this Agreement shall be one (1) year from the effective date and shall continue in effect for consecutive one (1) year terms until either Party gives the other Party at least sixty (60) days written notice of termination, which termination shall be effective at the end of the notice period.
- Agreement in whole or in part in the event of a default by the other Party, provided however, that the non-defaulting Party notifies the defaulting Party in writing of the alleged default and that the defaulting Party does not cure the alleged default within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of written notice thereof

- part bareal, for any cause shall not release either Party from any habitiny which as the time of termination had already account to the other Party or which thereafter accrues to any respect for any act or amission occurring prior to the termination relating to an obligation which is expressly saided in this Agreement. The Poetles' obligations under this Agreement which by their nature are intended to causisms beyond the termination or expiration of this Agreement shall survive the termination of this Agreement.
- 13. <u>General Responsibilities of Parties</u>. Each Party is responsible to provide facilities within its network which are necessary for repling and terminating traffic from the other Party's network.
- 14. <u>Assistments. Successors and Assistments</u>. Motivitheranding anything to the contrary contained berein, this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties bureto, and their successors and assigness.
- 15 Earce Majoree. Neither Party shall be liable for any delay or failure in performance of any part of this Agreement from any cause beyond its control, including, without limitation, acts of nature, acts of civil or military authority, government regulations, embargoes, epidamics, terrorist acts, riots, insurrections, fires, explosions, earthquakes, nuclear accidents, floods, power blackouts, other major environmental disturbances or unusually severe weather conditions (collectively, a "Force Majoure Event").
- 16 No Third Party Beneficianes. This Agreement does not provide and shall not be construed to provide third parties with any remedy, classe, hability, reimbursement, cause of action, or other privilege.
- 17. Notices. Notices given by one Party to the other Party under this Agreement shall be in writing to the addresses of the Parties set forth above and shall be (i) delivered personally; (ii) delivered by express delivery service; (iii) mailed, certified mail or first class U.S. mail postage prepaid, return receipt requested; or (iv) delivered by telecopy.
- upon issues within the jurisdiction of the FCC, the Parties agree that remedies for such claims shall be governed by the FCC and the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. For all claims under this Agreement that are based upon issues within the jurisdiction of the State Public Utilities Commission, the parties agree that the jurisdiction for all such claims shall be with such Commission, and the remedy for such claims shall be as provided for by such Commission. In all other respects, this Agreement shall be governed by the domestic laws of the state of South Daketa without reference to conflict of law provisions.

The second secon THE SECTION THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAM

行言語の大きなはるないとなっている

District the Principles escribites of the life late of the life.

"The Proper" Collins Land. Land.

"Carrier" RC Commanications

General Manager

| | exhibit a fa | |
|--|---|--------------------|
| | 在方文的图形信仰 | 随母挂程 |
| Appent Computingstates | 1,232 | |
| Afficer Telephone Company | 652 | \$4.623 \$4.625 |
| Aillic Telecom Cooperative | * ** | \$0.002 \$6.42a |
| Street of Municipal Telephone Company | 1.140 | 70.033 |
| Addemara: Caristora independent Jacobing Telephone | 9 55 | 40.023 |
| The state of the s | 14.424 | 李萨.穆崇籍 |
| They are Siver Sioux Triba Tela. Authority Sest Plains Telegrams, Inc | 2.024 | \$Q.QZB |
| Tall Walcipal Telephone | **** | \$\$. 13 2 |
| Sur Badall Telephone | 266 | 90.020 |
| A STATE OF THE COLUMN TWO AS A STATE OF THE C | 8,86克 | 26.628 |
| Galden West Telecom. Cooperative, Inc. Historic Communications, Inc | 14,403 | \$0.023 |
| AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O | 7,010 | 10.023 |
| Minispii County Telephone Company Mandand Communications | \$10 | \$0.038 |
| Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative | 1,610 | 10.028 |
| James Valley Cooperative Telephone | 14.784 | 编建。在28 |
| Afferson Telephone Company | 2,126 | \$0.92B |
| Alcoka Telephone Company | | 韓為證 |
| Semese Telephone Company | 600 | 90.038 |
| MicCook Cooperative Telephone Company | 750 | \$0.038 |
| Middle Telephone Company | 615 | 90.03a |
| Mountge Telecommunications Company | 2,901 | 60.02B |
| Wil Resignore Telephone Company | 2.482 | 60.02B |
| Roberts County Telephone Crop | 546 | 90 O3B |
| AC Communications | 449 | \$0.0E0 |
| Sentiorn Telephone Cooperative | 1.428 | \$0.033 |
| Sencera. Inc. | 2,613 | 80.028 |
| Slous Valley Telephone Company | 2.449 | \$0.028 |
| Splitrock Telecom Cooperative, Inc. | 5,586 | 80.02B |
| Sutrack Properties, Inc. | 4.326 | 60.020 |
| Stateline Telecomm., inc. | 1.560 | \$0.028 |
| Stockholm-Strandburg Telephone Company | 2/3 9 1 | \$0,028 |
| Sully Buttes Telephone Cooperative, Inc. | 7.14 | \$0.038 |
| Tri-County Mutual Telephone Company | 4,082 | \$0.028 |
| Union Telephone Company | 443 | \$0.050 |
| Valley Telecommunications Coop. Assn. | 1,575 | \$0.028 |
| Valley Cable & Satellite | 1,985 | \$0.028 |
| Venture Communications, Inc. | 1.601 | \$0.028 |
| Vivian Telephone Company | 7.106 | \$0.028 |
| West River Cooperative-Bison | 17,919 | \$0.028 |
| West River Telecom-Hazen | 1,589 | \$0.028 |
| Western Telephone Company | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | \$0.036 |
| wearent telebildise offithqua | 1,102 | \$0.033 |

Manager and State of State of

1

"Exhibit A" Page 2

-TRAPISIT TRASFIC RATE

SO 0005 per MOU per rouse mile

- RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION CREDIT - CALCULATION

Multiply the total monthly local minutes of use of wireless traffic delivered from CMRS Provider's network for termination into Cestier's network by a factor of 0.17.

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission WEEKLY FILINGS

For the Period of March 2, 2000 through March 8, 2000

If you need a complete copy of a filing faxed, overnight expressed, or mailed to you, please contact

Delaine Kolbo within five business days of this filing.

Phone: 605-773-3705 Fax: 605-773-3809

CONSUMER COMPLAINTS

CT00-045 In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Ralph C. Campbell, Watertown, South Dakota, against OLS, Inc. Regarding Switching Telecommunications Services Through Deceptive Tactics.

On February 22, 2000, a formal complaint was received from Ralph C. Campbell indicating that he received a deceptive telemarketing call. As a result of this call, the Complainant's telecommunications service was switched to OLS. As a resolution the Complainant is seeking \$1000 on behalf of all parties who were slammed.

Staff Analyst: Leni Healy Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 03/07/00 Intervention Date: NA

ELECTRIC

EL00-006 In the Matter of the Application of MidAmerican Energy Company for Determinations Pursuant to Section 32(k)(2)(A) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act.

Under Section 32(k)(1) of Public Utilities Holding Company Act, an electric utility may not enter into a contract to purchase electric energy at wholesale from an exempt wholesale generator if that exempt wholesale generator is an affiliate or associated company of the electric utility unless the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission makes certain determinations as required by the Act. MidAmerican Energy Company seeks such a determination from the Commission with regard to its proposal to purchase power from Cordova Energy Center, an affiliate of MidAmerican.

Staff Analyst: Michele Farris Staff Attorney: Camron Hoseck

Date Docketed: 03/02/00

Intervention deadline: 03/24/00

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

- TC00-020 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Accent Communications, Inc. TC00-021 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Armour Independent Telephone Company. TC00-022 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Baltic Telecom Cooperative. TC00-023 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Beresford Municipal Telephone Company. TC00-024 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Bridgewater-Canistota Independent Telephone Company. In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone TC00-025 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and City of Faith Telephone Company. In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone TC00-026 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and East Plains Telecom, Inc. TC00-027 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Fort Randall Telephone Company. TC00-028 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Golden West Telecommunications
 - TC00-029 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Hanson Communications, Inc.

Cooperative, Inc.

- TC00-030 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Hanson County Telephone Company.
- TC00-031 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Heartland Communications, Inc.
- TC00-032 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Interstate Telecommunications
 Cooperative, Inc.
- TC00-033 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and James Valley Cooperative Telephone Company.
- TC00-034 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Jefferson Telephone Company.
- TC00-035 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Kadoka Telephone Company.
- TC00-036 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Kennebec Telephone Company.
- TC00-037 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and McCook Cooperative Telephone Company.
- TC00-038 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Midstate Telephone Company.
- TC00-039 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Mount Rushmore Telephone Company.

- TC00-040 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and RC Communications, Inc.
- TC00-041 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Roberts County Telephone Cooperative
 Association.
- TC00-042 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Sanborn Telephone Cooperative.
- TC00-043 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Sancom, Inc.
- TC00-044 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Sioux Valley Telephone Company.
- TC00-045 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Splitrock Properties, Inc.
- TC00-046 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Splitrock Telecom Cooperative, Inc.
- TC00-047 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Stateline Telecommunications, Inc.
- TC00-048 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Stockholm-Strandburg Telephone Company.
- TC00-049 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Sully Buttes Telephone Cooperative, Inc.

- TC00-050 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Union Telephone Company.
- TC00-051 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Valley Cable & Satellite
 Communications, Inc.
- TC00-052 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone
 Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement
 between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Valley Telecommunications
 Cooperative Association.
- TC00-053 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Venture Communications, Inc.
- TC00-054 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Vivian Telephone Company d/b/a Golden West Communications, Inc.
- TC00-055 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and West River Cooperative Telephone Company.
- TC00-056 In the Matter of the Filing by South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between G.C.C. License L.L.C. and Western Telephone Company

Description for TC00-020 thru TC00-056

The above companies have each filed a reciprocal transport and termination agreement which was negotiated and entered into between them and GCC License L.L.C. which is an affiliate of Western Wireless Corporation. Commission approval is sought pursuant to 46 U.S.C. Section 252(e).

Staff Attorney: Camron Hoseck

Date Filed: 03/02/00

Intervention Deadline: 03/24/00

You may receive this listing and other PUC publications via our website or via internet e-mail. You may subscribe or unsubscribe to the PUC mailing lists at http://www.state.sd.us/puc/



Capital Callion Talephone (1985/778-330) Tale (1985/773-3989

Transportation

'Watchouse Obstract

Telephone (605)772-5286

FAX 1005)773-3225

Consumer Delline 1-000-332-1742

TTY Through Relies Shown Debots 1-806-877-1113

Internet Petrote www.state.ed.us/puc/

Am Burg Chamman Pean Neison Vice Chaman Laska Sahorafelder Constitutes

William Bullard Ir Executive Director

Hucke Hear Mario C. Detteran Sinc Crafting Karen E. Center Terry Emerson Michael M. Farres Musicuse Fuschback Heather K. Forney Sintern Pages Mary Cidlengs Lewis Harmani Leni Menty Many Healy Camron Howeck Lun Phill Dave Incohecu Immelier Kick Ech Eraclie Delaise Kolbo Charlene Lund Gregory A. Risigv Fierth Senger Rolayno Aika Wicet



Hate Capital Building. 30 East Capital Avenue, Parre, Louis Edilois \$7561-5030

March 30, 2000

William Bullard, Jr.
Executive Director
Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501

RE: In the Matter of the Reciprocel Transport and Termination Agreements TC00-020 through TC00-056, Inclusive

Dear Mr. Bullard:

Please consider this to be the Staff analysis and recommendation in the filing of these reciprocal transport and termination agreements.

Based upon the representation of Richard Colt on behalf of SDITC, that all the agreements are identical in their terms and conditions. I will therefore direct my comments to these agreements in such a manner.

It is to be noted that the effective date of the agreement is January 1, 1999. These were not tendered to this Commission until March 2, 2000, approximately a year and two months after the effective date. It is submitted that giving the agreements a retroective effect would be contrary to the public interest for a couple of reasons. First, under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252 (e) (1) the agreement is subject to approval or rejection by this Commission. Therefore, it cannot have any effect until this Commission approves it. Secondly, the agreements themselves bear signature dates of September, October, November or December 1999. On page 2, the second full paragraph, the agreement recites that exhibit A sets the rates for the local transport and termination. To adopt the agreements whether effective on the "effective date" of January 1, 1999, or the signature date of either September or December of 1999, would be to effect retroactive rate making. The public interest is not served when parties enter into agreements that seek to impose rates during a time period in which a valid contract setting those rates does not exist.

It should further be pointed out that the agreement with Ft. Randall Telephone Company. TC00-027, is incomplete and appears to have a copying error. My position is based upon the assumption that a valid contract exists here and that it is the same as the others which were filed.

Youand dart ir Marsido 2000 Pagez

Accordingly. Staff would make the following recommendation: It about recommend the the agreements be approved in their totality but their there be an emocitive date as of the date of the

Pleasa be advised, the standard of care exercised in reviewing these agreements is that ifform this point of view, they are entared into by two parties who are amply equipped to love out for their own interests and enter into arms largin transactions:

Vertruit vous

Cemien Hoseck Statishtomay

Chiling
CE Rich Coit, SDITC

Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation

Maria II. Colo Escretar Director Colodoramon

Amil 4, 2000

William Bullard, Ir., Executive Director South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Ave. State Capitol Building Pierre, SD 57501

COUTY DAKOTA FLIBLIC LITELTIES COMMESSION

RE: In the Matter of the Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreements
Dockets TC00-020 through TC00-056

Dear Mr. Bullard;

This letter is filed by SDITC on behalf of its member companies as a response to the latter of Staff Attorney Cameron Hoseck filed in this matter, dated March 30, 2000.

Mr. Hoseck first raises as a concern the fact that the Reciprocal Transport and Templastical Agreements as filed reference an effective date of January 1, 1999. In respense, SIMTC notes that this is merely considered the effective date agreed upon between the parties and should not be interpreted to mean that the parties at this time consider the agreements legally effective and binding, prior to PUC approval. The parties understand that these agreements are subject to the Commission review and approval process prescribed under 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).

SDITC does not agree with Mr. Hoseck's claim that the Commission cannot permit the parties to make these agreements effective, upon approval, back to Jamary 1, 1999. The earlier effective date is necessary because for several years no reciprocal compensation agreements have been in place to allow for any compensation between the parties relating to terminated wireless traffic. The earlier date allows for payment of at least a portion of the compensation due for past terminated traffic. It should also be noted that the parties actually commenced the negotiations leading to these filed agreements in the spring of 1998. The parties were unable to resolve all issues until the fall of 1999. These prolonged negotiations also made it necessary to pre-date the agreements.

Mr. Hoseck suggests that if the Commission approves these agreements with the effective date of January 1, 1999, that it will engage itself in retroactive ratemaking. SDITC disagrees. The Commission is charged under 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) with reviewing negotiated agreements only to determine whether they are nondiscriminatory and whether they are consistent with the



public interest, convenience and necessity. The review process established for state contributions under this section does not rise to the level of an actual rate publishing proceeding and accordingly, there should be no concern about rurgactive taleinaking. Contrary to share a lurgested by Mr. Hoseek, the Commission is under no legifically allow in rucci, the effective date of famings 1, 1960.

The parties have agreed between themselves, for compensation purposes, to consider the agreements effective as of an earlier date into they were signed. The should the Combinstion be provented from homomy this understanding between the parties? SELLE member companies consider the families I, 1990 effective date to be an important more and disagraphical of such effective date by this Commission will throw the agreements hark into the agreement and engosphere process. SILLE urges the Commission to accept the effective date established by the parties.

In his letter, Mr. Howerk also references the agreement filed in Docket TCDC-027, involving Fi. Raddall Telephone Company, noting that it is incomplete and contains a screpping arter. To address these concerns, a new copy that is true and correct is eastosed becauth

Sincerely.

Richard D. Colf

Executive Director and General Counsel

ec: Staff Attorney Cameron Hoseck

RDC/ms



Cappy Citico Telephon 2005/712/201 Telephon 2005/712/2014

Tousepartspland Provident Include Tolegand Consult Stan Manuscrip 2228

> Thursder Hollat 1408-332-1782

Tre Through Relay Signes Theoda 1:200:277-1313

internet Pfebric 1976112612.01.111/puc/

Ain Burg Chairean Park Nelson Vice Chairman Lucka Schoenfelder Commissioner

William Bulland dr. Executive Director

Harlan Best Martin C. Betterann Sue Cichos Karen E. Cremer Terry Emerson Michele M. Farris Mariette Fischbach Header H. Forest Shuleen Fugit Mary Quidings Lewis Harmmond Leni Heary Mary Vigaly Carron Hoseck Lisa Hell Dave Jacobson Jennifer Kirk Bob Knadle Delaine Kelho Charlens Lund Gregory A. Rizlov Kenh Senger Rolayne Aika Wind

April 7, 2000

Mr. William Bullard, Jr. Executive Director South Dakote Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Pierre, SD 57501

Re: In the Matter of the Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreements
Dockets TC00-020 through TC00-056, inclusive

Dear Mr. Bullard:

Please consider this to be Staff's Rebuttal to SDITC's response of April 4, 2000, its the Staff Analysis and Recommendation in these deckets.

SDITC takes the position with regard to the retroactive rate making objection that

"The earlier date allows for payment of at least a portion of the compensation due for past terminated traffic." (emphasis supplied).

This rationale falls within the classic definition of retroactive rate making:

Generally, retroactive rate making occurs when a utility is permitted to recover an additional charge for past losses, or when a utility is required to refund revenues collected pursuant to its lawfully established rates.

South Central Bell Telephone Company v. Louisiana Public Service Commission. 585 So 2d 1258 (LA 1992) (decision without published opinions) CCH Utilities Law Reports - State ¶ 26, 169.

By approving the agreements, the Commission is permitting the implementation of rates and in that sense is involved in the rate making process. The corollary is that without Commission approval of the agreed-upon rates, they would not be charged.

Reticactive rate making is not consistent with the public trearest. It can reflect takings arbitrary SPO gaphistics acts, discrimination and a mustiglier, of the basic molice requirements of the Drossas of law.

Staff adheres to its position in the mater and its supposite delicitive date for the agreement and all that it entails

Valuatividus.

Camron Hoseck Staff Allomey

CHak

र्क Mr. Richard D. Coit Mr. Gene DeJordy

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTLITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAYOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE FILMS BY SOUTH DAKOTA INDEPENDENT TELEPHONE COAUTION FOR APPROVAL OF A RECIPROCAL TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN G.C.C. LICENSELL.C. AND ROCCUMUNICATIONS, INC.

ORDER APPROVING AGREEMENT

TC00-040

では、100mmので

On March 2, 2000, the South Datrois independent Telephone Coalition on behalf of SC Communications, Inc. (RC) filed for approval by the South Datrois Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a reciprocal transport and termination agreement between G.C. License L.L.C. (GCC) and RC. The agreement had an effective date of January 1, 1999.

On Merch 9, 2000, the Commission electronically transmitted notice of this filing to interested individuals and onlities. The notice stated that any person wishing to intervene had until March 24, 2000, to do so. No intervention was sought. Commission Staff fleet comments.

At its duly noticed May 17, 2000, meeting, the Commission considered whether to approve the agreement between GCC and RC. Commission Staff recommended approval with an effective date as of the date of an Order approving the agreement.

The Commission has jurisdiction over this metter pursuant to SOCL Chapter 49-31, and the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. In accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2), the Commission found that the agreement does not discriminate against a telecommunications camer that is not a party to the agreement and the agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Commission unanimously voted to approve the agreement with an effective date as of the date of this Order. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the Commission approves the agreement effective as of the date of this Order

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this 32 Wday of May, 2000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifles that this document has been served today upon all parties of record in this docket, as listed on the docket service list, by facesmile or by first class mail in properly addressed envelopes, with charges prepaid thereon

er Allane Kallos

Des 5/25/00

(OFFICIAL SEAL)

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

MMES A. BURG, Chairman

PAM NELSON, Commissioner

LASKA SCHOENFELDER, Commissioner